arXiv:1512.02392v1 [nucl-th] 8 Dec 2015

QMC approach based on the Bogoliubov independent quark model of the nucleon

Henrik Bohr

Department of Physics, B.307, Danish Technical University,

DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark

Steven A. Moszkowski

UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

Prafulla K. Panda

Department of Physics, Utkal University, Bhubaneswar, 751004, India

Constança Providência, João da Providência

CFisUC, Departamento de Física, Universidade de Coimbra,

P-3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal

Abstract

The quark-meson coupling model due to Guichon is formulated on the basis of the independent quark model of the nucleon proposed by Bogoliubov and is applied to the phenomenological descriptions of symmetric and asymmetric nuclear matter. For symmetric matter, the model predicts, at saturation density, the incompressibility $K = 335.17$ MeV, the quark effective mass $m_q^* = 238.5$ MeV, and the effective nucleon mass $M^* = 0.76M$, where M is the nucleon mass in vacuum. Neutron star masses above two solar masses are obtained.

1 Introduction

About almost half a century ago, Bogoliubov proposed an interesting model of baryons [1], which assumes that these particles are composed of independent quarks bound by a linearly raising potential, as suggested by gauge theories. With the help of a single phenomenological parameter, the string tension κ, this model is able to qualitatively account for the dynamically generated mass of the nucleon, for the corresponding magnetic moment, and for the mass-radius. The quark-meson-coupling (QMC) model due to Guichon [2, 3] incorporates successfully the quark degrees of freedom into a many-body effective nuclear Hamiltonian, this being achieved by describing nucleons in the context of the MIT bag model [4]. The aim of the present note is to obtain a phenomenological description of hadronic matter in the spirit of the QMC approach combined with the Bogoliubov model for the description of the quark dynamics in the nucleon rest system.

2 Bogoliubov model

The independent quark model of the nucleon proposed by Bogoliubov [1] is described by the Hamiltonian

$$h_D = -i\boldsymbol{\alpha}\cdot\nabla + \beta\left(\kappa|\mathbf{r}| - g_\sigma^q\sigma\right), \tag{1}$$

where β and the components $\alpha_x, \alpha_y, \alpha_z$ of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ are Dirac matrices, σ denotes the external scalar field, g_σ^q denotes the quark-σ coupling and κ denotes the string tension. Contrary to the MIT bag model [4], in h_D the confining potential for quarks is a Dirac scalar. This fact motivates and justifies that the QMC approach is revisited in combination with the Bogoliubov model. The eigenvalues of h_D are obtained by a scale transformation from the eigenvalues of

$$h_{D0} = -i\boldsymbol{\alpha}\cdot\nabla + \beta\left(|\mathbf{r}| - a\right),$$

where a is a parameter. The variational principle may be applied, to the square of h_D,

$$h_D^2 = -\nabla^2 + (\kappa|\mathbf{r}| - g_\sigma^q\sigma)^2 + i\kappa\beta\boldsymbol{\alpha}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|}. \tag{2}$$

If in h_D^2 the term proportional to β is neglected, a simplified model is obtained according to which, and by combining Bogoliubov [1] and Guichon [2] ideas, confined quarks in nuclear matter are described, in the nucleon rest frame, by an equation of the Klein-Gordon type,

$$-\partial^\mu\partial_\mu\psi + (\kappa|\mathbf{r}| - g_\sigma^q\sigma)^2\psi = 0.$$

The mass squared of the confined quarks, m^2, is the lowest eigenvalue of the simplified Bogoliubov-Guichon operator

$$h^2_{KG} = -\nabla^2 + (\kappa|\mathbf{r}| - g^q_\sigma \sigma)^2, \tag{3}$$

and can be easily expressed in terms the lowest eigenvalue of the operator

$$h^2_{KG0} = -\nabla^2 + (|\mathbf{r}| - a)^2. \tag{4}$$

The eigenvalues of (4) coincide with the eigenvalues associated with antisymmetric eigenfunctions of the double oscillator Hamiltonian,

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + (|x| - a)^2. \tag{5}$$

In [5, Table 1], the two lowest eigenvalues of this operator are tabulated. We are interested in the second one since it corresponds to the groundstate of h^2_{KG0}.

Of course, quarks are coupled not only with the scalar field, but also with the omega field. This coupling was not included in eq. (1) because, as explained in [2, 3], its only effect is the nucleon-omega coupling which will be considered in due course.

The simplified model based on eq. (3) is interesting on its own right because the essential physics is already contained in it. Moreover, it seems likely that Bogoliubov's model originated from the consideration of the corresponding Klein-Gordon equation. The exact groundstate eigenvalue of h^2_{KG0} (eq. (4)) may be easily determined. For $0 \leq a \leq 2$, the relevant values in [5, Table 1] are reproduced with the desired accuracy by the following interpolating expression,

$$\frac{m^2(\kappa, a)}{\kappa} = 3. - 2.2553a + 0.77444a^2 - 0.032099a^3 - 0.018519a^4. \tag{6}$$

The presence of an external scalar field σ is described through the replacement $a = g^q_s \sigma/\sqrt{\kappa}$. The groundstate eigenvalue of h^2_{KG} is denoted by $m^2(\kappa, g^q_\sigma \sigma/\sqrt{\kappa})$.

3 Ansatz

For the groundstate of h^2_{KG0}, eq. (4), we also consider the ansatz

$$\Psi_b = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(|\mathbf{r}| - a - b)^2\right), \tag{7}$$

where the parameter b should be fixed variationally for each value of a. With $b = 0$, this ansatz had already been proposed in [6] and is exact for $a = 0$ and in the limit $a \to \infty$.

3.1 Simplified model

The ansatz Ψ_b provides a very good approximation to the groundstate eigenvalue of h^2_{KG0}. We have,

$$\frac{m^2(\kappa,a)}{\kappa} = \min_b \frac{\langle\Psi_\beta|h^2_{KG0}|\Psi_b\rangle}{\langle\Psi_b|\Psi_b\rangle} = \min_b \frac{\mathcal{K}_0+\mathcal{V}_0}{\mathcal{N}_0}, \tag{8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}_0 &= \pi\left(2(a+b)\mathrm{e}^{-(a+b)^2} + \left(1+2(a+b)^2\right)\sqrt{\pi}\,(1+\mathrm{Erf}(a+b))\right)\\
\mathcal{V}_0 &= \frac{1}{2}\pi\left[2(a+5b+2ab^2+2b^3)\mathrm{e}^{-(a+b)^2}\right.\\
&\quad\left.+\left(3+12b^2+4b^4+4ab(3+2b^2)+a^2(2+4b^2)\right)\sqrt{\pi}(1+\mathrm{Erf}(a+b))\right]\\
\mathcal{K}_0 &= \frac{1}{2}\pi\left[2(a+b)\mathrm{e}^{-(a+b)^2} + (3+2a^2+4ab+2b^2)\sqrt{\pi}(1+\mathrm{Erf}(a+b))\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Minimization of the r.h.s. of eq. (8) with respect to b is easily performed. The remarkable agreement between the exact eq. (6) and the variational eq. (8) deserves to be stressed.

3.2 Full model

In order to estimate the lowest eigenvalue of

$$h^2_{D0} = -\nabla^2 + (|\mathbf{r}|-a)^2 + i\beta\boldsymbol{\alpha}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|}, \tag{9}$$

the Dirac structure of the quark wave-function, which has been neglected in the previous ansatz Ψ_b, should be taken into account, so that we consider a new ansatz,

$$\Psi_{b,\lambda} = \begin{bmatrix}\chi\\ i\lambda(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{r})\chi\end{bmatrix}\mathrm{e}^{-(|r|-a-b)^2/2}, \tag{10}$$

where b,λ are variational parameters, and χ is a 2-spinor. Minimizing the expectation value of h^2_{D0} for $\Psi_{b,\lambda}$, the following expression for the quark mass is found,

$$\frac{m^2(\kappa,a)}{\kappa} = \min_{\lambda,b}\frac{\langle\psi_{b,\lambda}|h^2_{D0}|\psi_{b,\lambda}\rangle}{\kappa\langle\psi_{b,\lambda}|\psi_{b,\lambda}\rangle} = \min_{\lambda,b}\frac{\mathcal{K}_0+\mathcal{V}_0+\mathcal{V}_{01}\lambda+(\mathcal{K}_1+\mathcal{V}_1)\lambda^2}{\mathcal{N}_0+\mathcal{N}_1\lambda^2}, \tag{11}$$

where $\mathcal{N}_0$, $\mathcal{V}_0$ and $\mathcal{K}_0$, are as in the previous subsection and

$$\mathcal{N}_1 = \frac{1}{2}\pi\left(\left(2(a+b)(5+2(a+b)^2\right)\mathrm{e}^{-(a+b)^2} + (3+12(a+b)^2+4(a+b)^4)\sqrt{\pi}(1+\mathrm{Erf}(a+b))\right)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_1 = \frac{1}{4}\pi\left\{\left[2(33b+28b^3+4b^5+a^3(2+4b^2)+2a^2b(11+6b^2)+\alpha(17+48b^2+12b^4))\right]\mathrm{e}^{-((a+b))^2}\right.$$

Table 1: Numerical results for $m^2(\kappa, a)/\kappa$ taking distinct values of a. Expression (8), based on eq. (7), is compared with the corresponding exact results obtained from eq. (6), The minimizing value of b is shown. The agreement between the exact eq. (6) and the variational eq. (8) is very good.

a	$m^2(\kappa, a)/\kappa$ eq. (6)	$m^2(\kappa, a)/\kappa$ eq. (8).	b
0	3	3	0
0.1	2.7821	2.7822	-0.05368
0.2	2.5796	2.5801	-0.1053
0.3	2.3921	2.3932	-0.1547
0.4	2.2193	2.2213	-0.2017
0.5	2.0608	2.0641	-0.246
0.6	1.9163	1.9210	-0.2875
0.7	1.7853	1.7917	-0.326
0.8	1.6674	1.6756	-0.3612
0.9	1.5620	1.5723	-0.39283
1	1.4685	1.481	-0.42068
1.1	1.3864	1.4011	-0.44453
1.2	1.3150	1.3319	-0.46418

$$+\left[(15+90b^2+60b^4+8b^6+16a^3b(3+2b^2)+a^4(4+8b^2)+12a^2(3+12b^2+4b^4)\right.$$
$$\left.+8\alpha\beta(15+20b^2+4b^4))\sqrt{\pi}\right](1+\mathrm{Erf}(a+b))\Big\}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_1=\frac{1}{4}\pi\left(+\left((34(a+b)+4(a+b)^3)\mathrm{e}^{-(a+b)^2}+(15+36(a+b)^2+4(a+b)^4)\sqrt{\pi}\right)(1+\mathrm{Erf}(a+b))\right)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{01}=-2\pi\left(2(1+(a+b)^2)\mathrm{e}^{-(a+b)^2}+(a+b)(3+2(a+b)^2)\sqrt{\pi}(1+\mathrm{Erf}(a+b))\right).$$

Minimization of eq. (11) w.r.t. λ is readily performed, so that

$$\frac{m^2(\kappa,a)}{\kappa}=\frac{1}{2}\min_b\left(\frac{\mathcal{K}_0+\mathcal{V}_0}{\mathcal{N}_0}+\frac{\mathcal{K}_1+\mathcal{V}_1}{\mathcal{N}_1}-\sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathcal{K}_0+\mathcal{V}_0}{\mathcal{N}_0}-\frac{\mathcal{K}_1+\mathcal{V}_1}{\mathcal{N}_1}\right)^2+\left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_{01}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_1}}\right)^2}\right). \quad (12)$$

Minimization of the r.h.s. of eq. (12) with respect to b may be easily implemented. In order to obtain the EoS, we need the quark mass under the effect of an external scalar field, $m(\kappa, g_\sigma\sigma/\sqrt{\kappa})$. We observe that the simplified model considered in the previous section is recovered by setting $\lambda=0$ in eq. (11), instead of performimg the indicated minimization with respect to λ.

4 QMC model. Bogoliubov model with external scalar field

According to the QMC model [2], nuclear matter is a system of nucleons which behave like point-like particles, although they are constituted by quarks coupled to the scalar σ field, in the framework of an independent particle model. The QMC model, was proposed by Guichon [2] on the basis of the MIT bag model [4] and has been considered by other authors [7, 8, 10, 11]. Here, we wish to implement the QMC model based on the Bogoliubov quark model [1], which describes the quark dynamics in the nucleon rest frame.

The energy density of quark matter reads

$$\mathcal{E}=\frac{\gamma}{(2\pi)^3}\int^{k_F}\mathrm{d}^3k\sqrt{k^2+M^{*2}}+\frac{1}{2}m_\sigma^2\sigma^2-\frac{1}{2}m_\omega^2\omega^2+3g_\omega^q\omega\rho_B \quad (13)$$

where g_ω^q denotes the quark-ω coupling,

$$\rho_B=\frac{\gamma}{(2\pi)^3}\int^{k_F}\mathrm{d}^3k,\quad M^*=3m_q(\sigma)=3m(\kappa,g_\sigma^q\sigma/\sqrt{\kappa})$$



Figure 1: M^*/M for the present QMC approach based on the Bogolyubov model. Improved calculation according to eqs. (6), (8) and (12).

and $\gamma = 4$ denotes the spin isospin degeneracy. The pressure is given by

$$-P = \frac{\gamma}{(2\pi)^3}\int^{k_F} \mathrm{d}^3k\sqrt{k^2+M^{*2}} + \rho_B\left(3g^q_\omega\omega - \sqrt{k_F^2+M^{*2}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}m_\sigma^2\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_\omega^2\omega^2.$$

Minimization of $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to σ and ω is expressed by the conditions

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{E}}{\partial\sigma} = \frac{\partial M^*}{\partial\sigma}\rho_s + m_\sigma^2\sigma = 0, \tag{14}$$

where

$$\rho_s = \frac{\gamma}{(2\pi)^3}\int^{k_F} \mathrm{d}^3k\frac{M^*}{\sqrt{k^2+M^{*2}}},$$

denotes the scalar density, and

$$m_\omega^2\omega = 3g^q_\omega\rho_B.$$

Since the factor $\partial M^*/\partial\sigma := -3g_s^q\mathcal{S}_G$ is density dependent, equation (14) shows that the source of the σ field is not simply the scalar density, as already remarked in [2, 3]. The nucleon-σ



Figure 2: EoS for the present QMC approach based on the Bogolyubov model. Improved calculation according to eqs. (6), (8) and (12), with $b = 0$ and with optimal b. It is important to minimize the rhs. of eq. (12) w.r.t. b.

coupling, which is described by $-\partial M^*/\partial\sigma$, decreases with density. Indeed, $\mathcal{S}_G$ decreases fast as density increases, being $\mathcal{S}_G = 1$ at the vacuum.

We observe that eq. (13) for the binding energy is based on the so-called mean field approximation. This means that it contains only one body terms, and that the two-body interactions are simulated by the quadratic terms in σ^2 and ω^2, namely, $m_\sigma^2\sigma^2/2$ and $-m_\omega^2\omega^2/2$. Notice also that M^* depends on σ, but not on ω. The quark mass is obtained from $m_q(\sigma) = M^*/3 = m(\kappa, g_\sigma\sigma/\sqrt{\kappa})$.

It may be observed that, in the QMC model proposed by Guichon, the sigma-quark coupling takes values that go from less than 6 to about 8, see [7, 9]. The obtained value in the present model is only slightly smaller. The difference, which is not dramatic, may be due to using a string-type confining potential instead of the MIT bag model.

Table 2: Numerical results for $m^2(\kappa, a)/\kappa$, corresponding to distinct values of a comparing the simplified model, according to eq. (6) and (8), with the full model given by equation (12).

a	$m^2(\kappa, a)/\kappa$ eq. (6)	$m^2(\kappa, a)/\kappa$ eq. (8).	$m^2(\kappa, a)/\kappa$ eq. (12)
0	3	3	2.64022
0.1	2.7821	2.78233	2.41146
0.2	2.5796	2.58006	2.19766
0.3	2.3921	2.39318,	1.99851
0.4	2.2193	2.22133	1.81364
0.5	2.0608	2.06408	1.64265
0.6	1.9163	1.92101	1.48508
0.7	1.7853	1.7917	1.34047
0.8	1.6674	1.67564	1.20829
0.9	1.5620	1.57228	1.08799
1	1.4685	1.481	0.978984
1.1	1.3864	1.40112	0.880623
1.2	1.3150	1.33192	0.79224
1.3	1.2153	1.2726	0.713139
1.4	1.2013	1.2223	0.642597
1.5	1.1575	1.18013	0.579884
1.6	1.1213	1.14522	0.52427
1.7	1.0919	1.11679	0.475039
1.8	1.0684	1.0941	0.431503
1.9	1.0500	1.07602	0.393011
2	1.0358	1.0599	0.358953

Table 3: Model parameters and nuclear matter properties at saturation taking the binding energy 15.7 MeV, the equilibrium density 0.15 fm^{-3} and the vacuum quark mass 313 MeV, and effective mass M_N^* calcuted using Eqs. (6), (8) and (12)

	eq. (6)	eq. (8).	eq. (12)
g_σ^q	4.0562	4.0339	3.9851
$g_\omega = 3g_\omega^q$	7.6533	7.5530	9.3085
κ (MeV^2)	32656.	32656.	37106.
σ (MeV)	23.357	23.113	27.490
ω (MeV)	14.387	14.199	17.499
M_N^* (MeV)	771.36	774.39	715.51
K (MeV)	293.64	297.42	335.17

For $0 < a < 2$, it may be safely assumed that

$$M^* = \sqrt{9(2.6402 - 2.3634a + 0.7631a^2 - 0.045119a^3 - 0.015778a^4)\kappa}.$$

This expression fits the minimization with respect to b indicated in the r.h.s. of eq.(12). Thus,

$$3g_s^q\mathcal{S}_G = -\frac{\partial M^*}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{\partial M^*}{\partial a}\ \frac{g_s^q}{\sqrt{\kappa}}.$$

By including ρ mesons, the present formalism may easily be extended to the treatment of asymmetric nuclear matter,

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\gamma}{(2\pi)^3}\sum_{j=P,N}\int^{k_F^j} \mathrm{d}^3k\sqrt{k^2+M^{*2}} + \frac{1}{2}m_\sigma^2\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_\omega^2\omega^2 + 3g_\omega^q\omega\rho_B - \frac{1}{2}m_b^2b^2 + g_b^qb\rho_3 \tag{15}$$

where g_b^q denotes the quark-ρ coupling and

$$\rho_B = \frac{\gamma}{(2\pi)^3}\sum_{j=P,N}\int^{k_F^j}\mathrm{d}^3k, \quad \rho_3 = \frac{\gamma}{(2\pi)^3}\sum_{j=P,N}\eta_j\int^{k_F^j}\mathrm{d}^3k, \quad M^* = 3m_q(\sigma) = 3m(\kappa, g_\sigma^q\sigma/\sqrt{\kappa}).$$

Here, $k_F^j,\ j = P, N$ denote the Fermi momenta of protons and neutrons, and $\gamma = 2$ denotes the spin degeneracy.



Figure 3: EoS of asymmetric nuclear matter for several proton fractions, according to the present QMC approach based on the Bogolyubov model. Calculation according to eq. (12).

5 Discussion

In the present work we have proposed an effective relativistic nuclear model that takes explicitly into account the internal structure of the nucleon, in the spirit of the QMC model proposed by Guichon [2]. Hadronic matter at normal densities is a system of nucleons composed of quarks coupled to σ and ω fields and bound by a linearly raising potential according to the Bogoliubov model of baryons [1] and as suggested by gauge theories. The parameters of the model have been fitted to the saturation density and the binding energy of symmetric nuclear matter, and to the nucleon mass in vacuum.

In Table 1 we compare the exact groundstate eigenvalue of h^2_{KG0} which determines the effective mass of the nucleon, eq. (4), with the variational result based on the ansatz (7), showing the value of the parameter b which optimizes the variational result. The performance of the ansatz is remarkable. In Table 2, the exact groundstate energy of h^2_{KG0}, the corresponding

Table 4: Neutron star properties calculated with the EOS obtained from Eqs. (6), (8) and (12):maximum gravitational mass M_{max}, maximum baryonic mass $M_{b\ max}$ and radius R.

	eq. (6)	eq. (8).	eq. (12)
M_{max} $[M_\odot]$	2.22	2.21	2.40
$M_{b\ max}$ $[M_\odot]$	2.59	2.58	2.83
R [Km]	12.25	12.21	12.65

variational approximation for ansatz (7) and the variational groundstate energy of h^2_{D0} for the new ansatz (10) are tabulated for diffarent values of a. We remark that the expectation value of h^2_{D0} for the ansatz (10) with $\lambda = 0$ coincides with the expectation value of h^2_{KG0} for the ansatz (7). We conclude that the term of h^2_{D0} involving the Dirac matrix β makes a significant difference and that the Dirac structure of the quark wave function should not be neglected, although the model based on h^2_{KG0} performs satisfactorily and is physically meaningful.

The curves displayed in Figure 2, representing the binding energy vs. the baryon density, were obtained using eqs. (6), (8) and (12). The model parameters are m_σ =550 MeV, $m_\omega = 783$ MeV and the quark mass for nucleons in vacuum, $m_q = 313$ MeV. The coupling constants g^q_σ, g^q_ω were chosen so as to reproduce the binding energy and density at equilibrium, that is, $\mathcal{E}/\rho_B - M_N = -15.7$ MeV at saturation $\rho_B = \rho_0 = 0.15$ fm^{-3} (pressure $P = 0$), being $M_N = 939$ MeV the free nucleon mass. The value of κ is determined by the quark mass in vacuum. We find that eqs. (6) and (8) are associated with $\kappa = 32656.3$ MeV^2, while eq. (12) is associated with $\kappa = 37106.6$ MeV^2. Taking the Dirac structure of the quark wave function into account, leads to an increase of the string tension. At saturation density, the effective mass is given by $M^*/M = 0.821$ for eq.(6), $M^*/M = 0.825$ for eq.(8) and $M^*/M = 0.762$ and for eq.(12), while the incompressibility is given by $K = 293.64$ MeV for eqs. (6), by $K = 297.42$ for (8) and by $K = 335.17$ MeV for eq. (12). We point out that for the QMC model based on the MIT bag model, the obtained incompressibility is 291 MeV.

The outputs are summarized in Table 3. We observe that the values we find for the incompressibility are quite close to what might be called the "empirical value" obtained by Satpathy and Nayak [12], $K = 288 \pm 20$ MeV, however, too high for the value obtained in [13] where the value $K = 230 \pm 40$ MeV constrained by the giant monopole resonance, is obtained. It appears that the more correct treatment of the Dirac model gives too large an incompressibility.

However, it is likely that, by using a more flexible variational ansatz, for instance, one with different b's in the upper and in the lower components of the Dirac wavefunction, the stiffness will be slightly reduced.



Figure 4: Gravitational mass vs. radius for a neutron star. The constraint imposed by the pulsar PSR J0348+0432 with a mass $2.01 \pm 0.04\, M_\odot$ [15] is represented. Different curves were obtained calculating the effective mass of the nucleon from Eqs. (6), (8) and (12).

Asymmetric nuclear matter is described by including ρ meson, the ρ-quark coupling g_b^q having been chosen so as to reproduce a symmetry energy of 32 MeV at saturation. In Figure 3, the EoS for asymmetric matter is represented for several proton fractions calculated with (12). As expected, the binding energy increases with an increase of isospin asymmetry and neutron matter is not bound.

Next we have considered the EoS of stellar matter including electrons and muons and imposing β-equilibrium and charge neutrality in order to calculate the structure of neutron stars within the present model. Two solar mass pulsars have been recently confirmed, see [14, 15], and acceptable models should describe stars with a mass at least as large as $2M_\odot$.

We have integrated the Tolmann-Oppenheimer-Volkoff equations of hydrostatic equilibrium in general relativity [16] and computed neutron star properties, namely, the maximum gravitational mass M_{max}, the maximum baryonic mass $M_{b\ max}$ and the respective radius R, shown in Table 4 for the different approximations discussed in the previous sections. For the outer crust the Baym-Pethick-Sutherland EoS (BPS) [17] was used. In Figure 4, we plot the mass versus the radius of the family of stars described with the stellar matter equation of state obtained for the nucleon effective mass calculated from Eqs. (6), (8) and (12). Since the full model with the mass given by (12) gives rise to the hardest EoS, it is not surprising that the full model predicts the largest masses. In Figure 4 we also include the mass constraints imposed by the the pulsar PSR J0348+0432 with a mass $2.01 \pm 0.04\, M_{\odot}$ [15].

The following considerations are in order. The tendencies to chiral symmetry restoration and deconfinement show up in the increasing nucleon bag size, and in the decreasing effective mass, for increasing density. Indeed, eq. (7) suggests that the nucleon bag looks like a hollow bubble, whose radius $a + b$, ($a = g_\sigma^q \sigma/\sqrt{\kappa}$), increases with density, becoming rather large at high densities. Notice that $0 \leq a + b \leq a$. At extremely low and extremely high densities, b becomes equal to 0. On the other hand, according to Fig. 1, the mass decreases appreciably as the density increases.

The obtained phenomenological string tension is somewhat low. This is because we have considered the oversimplification of an independent particle model, i.e., a one-body force, to describe the three body force which represents the actual string interaction connecting each quark in the nucleon to the common center of mass. A reduction in the phenomenological string tension is the price payed for the extreme simplicity of the used model. Notice that strings are one dimensional objects, and we use a three dimensional confining one-body potential to represent them.

6 Conclusions

In summary, we have proposed a relativistic nuclear model that takes the quarks as fundamental constituents, and describes the nucleons as composite particles. The quarks interact in the vacuum through a linear interaction, and medium effects are taken into account through the coupling of the quarks to mesons fields. The mesonic fields are obtained through a minimization of the thermodynamical potential. The parameters of the model are chosen so that saturation

nuclear matter properties are described, however, the incompressibility comes a bit too high. As soon the internuclear spacing is of the order of the radius of the nucleon a phase transition to quark matter is expected. An interesting and consistent EoS embodying the hadron-quark phase transition may be obtained by describing the quark phase in the framework of Bogoliubov's independent quark model. This will be considered in the near future. The effect of the temperature in the behavior of the radius will also be investigated.

Apendix

Minimization of the r.h.s. of eq. (8) with respect to b may be taken into account with sufficient accuracy by the replacement, for $0 \leq a < 2$,

$$\beta = c_1 a + c_2 a^2 + c_3 a^3 + c_4 a^4 + c_5 a^5 + c_6 a^6,$$

where $c_1 = -0.54690, c_2 = 0.096421, c_3 = 0.024973, c_4 = -0.00001286, c_5 = 0.008702$, $c_6 = -0.003858$. It is also well described by assuming that, for $0 \leq a < 2$,

$$\frac{m^2(\kappa,\alpha)}{\kappa} = 3. - 2.256a + 0.78923a^2 - 0.03179a^3 - 0.020473a^4.$$

Minimization of the r.h.s. of eq. (12) with respect to b may be taken into account with sufficient accuracy by the replacement, for $0 \leq a < 2$,

$$\beta = d_0 + d_1 a + d_2 a^2 + d_3 a^3 + d_4 a^4 + d_5 a^5 + d_6 a^6,$$

where $d_0 = 0.00805, d_1 = -0.53949, d_2 = 0.093915, d_3 = 0.024846, d_4 = 0.0029149, d_5 = 0.00068587, d_6 = -0.0013336$. It is also well described by assuming that, for $0 \leq a < 2$,

$$\frac{m^2(\kappa,a)}{\kappa} = 2.6402 - 2.3634a + 0.7631a^2 - 0.045119a^3 - 0.015778a^4.$$

References

[1] P.N. Bogolyoubov, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré 8 (1968) 163.

[2] P.A.M. Guichon, Phys. Lett. 200B(1998)235.

[3] Guichon, K. Saito, E. Kodionov and A.W. Thomas, Nucl.Phys.A601 (1996) 349.

[4] A. Chodos, R.C. Jaffe, K. Johnson, C.B. Thorn and V.F . Weisskopf, Phys. Rev. D9 (1974) 3471.

[5] D. Robson, Phys. Rev. D **35** (1987) 1029.

[6] H. Bohr, S. A. Moszkowski, P. K. Panda, C. Providencia, J. da Providencia, "A quark-meson coupling model based on Bogoliubov's model of the nucleon", arXiv:1402.1630

[7] P. A. M. Guichon and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93(2004)132502

[8] D.L. Whittenbury, D.J. Carroll, A.W.Thomas, K. Tsushima and J.R. Stone, Phys. Rev. C **89** (2014) 065801.

[9] P.K. Panda, D.P. Menezes and C. Providencia, Phys.Rev. C69 (2004) 025207.

[10] E.F. Batista, B.V. Carlson, T. Frederico, Nucl. Phys. A 697(2002)469.

[11] N. Barik, R.N. Mishra, D.K. Mohanty, P.K. Panda and T. Frederico, Phys. Rev. C88 (2013) 015206.

[12] L. Satpathy, V.S. Uma Maheswari and R. C. Nayak, Phys. Repts 319, 85, 1999; L. Satpathy and R. C. Nayak, Infinite nuclear matter model of atomic nuclei (LAP Lambert Academic Publishing, Saarbrucken, Germany, ISBN: 078-3-659-30391-3)

[13] E. Khan, J. Margueron, I. Vidana, Physical Review Letters 109, 092501 (2012)

[14] P. B. Demorest, T. Pennucci,S. M. Ransom, M. S. Roberts, J. W. Hessels, Nature 467, 1081 (2010).

[15] J. Antoniadis et al., Science 340, 6131 (2013)

[16] J. R. Oppenheimer and G. M. Volkoff, Phys. Rev. **55**, 374 (1939); R. C. Tolman, *ibid.* **55**, 364 (1939).

[17] G. Baym, C. Pethick, and P. Sutherland, The Astrophysical Journal, 170 (1971) 299.